arXiv:1512.05756v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 17 Dec 2015

Bose gas in disordered, finite-layered systems

V.E. Barragán[1,2], M. Fortes[1], M.A. Solís[1], P. Salas[1]
[1] *Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 20-364, México D.F., México and*
[2] *Posgrado en Ciencia e Ingeniería de Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México D.F., México*

(Dated: December 18, 2015)

Disorder effects in the thermodynamic properties of a ideal Bose gas confined in a semi-infinite multi-layer structure within a box of thickness L and infinite lateral extent, are analyzed. The layers are first modeled by a periodic array of M Dirac delta-functions of equal intensity. Then, we introduce structural and compositional disorder, as well as a random set of layer vacancies in the system to calculate the internal energy, chemical potential and the specific heat for different configurations. Whereas structural and compositional disorder does not reveal a significant change, a dramatic increase in the maximum of the specific heat is observed when the system is depleted a fraction of the order of 0.1 to 0.2 of random layers compared to the original, fully periodic array. Furthermore, this maximum, which is reminiscent of a Bose-Einstein condensation for an infinite array, occurs at higher temperatures.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Bt, 67.85.Jk, 67.10.Fj

I. INTRODUCTION

The thermodynamic properties of a non-interacting Bose gas in layered structures have been studied [1–4] to understand how the Bose condensate transition is modified by a periodic array of planes in one, two and three dimensions. Layered systems provide a simple model for high-temperature superconductivity (HTSC) materials where the conduction is believed to occur in the well-defined copper-oxide planes. Cooper pairs may be represented by the boson gas in underdoped cuprates where their coherence length is similar to particle separation. When Cooper pairs are allowed to tunnel through permeable layers, the delocalization process of the pairs gives rise to a substantial enhancement of pairing [5]. By allowing these systems to be finite in one or two dimensions, it is interesting to explore how the thermodynamic and conduction properties are modified, for example due to the presence of surface states [6] or through lattice disorder. In the case of a fermion gas (electrons) in a large periodic array, lattice disorder enhances localized states as was shown by Anderson almost sixty year ago [8]. Also, localized states in a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) have recently been observed in the context of bichromatic optical lattices obtained by superimposing two one-dimensional optical lattices with different wavelengths, or in waveguides in the presence of a controlled disorder created by laser speckle [9–12].

Disorder or random impurities have also been shown to produce BEC in low-dimensional systems in the thermodynamic limit [13, 14] which would otherwise be impossible in an ordered lattice due to the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem [15]. It is therefore interesting to study the properties of a Bose system within a disordered layer structure of finite extent and to understand how the possible formation of a BEC can be enhanced by manipulating the positions of the layers.

In this work, we analyze the wall-disorder effects on the specific heat of an ideal Bose gas confined to a semi-infinite layered system described by M permeable barriers within a box of thickness L and infinite lateral extent. Disorder is created when the reference, periodic-layered system goes to configurations with random barrier positions (*structural* disorder), random barrier permeabilities (*compositional* disorder), or by removing a fraction of the walls at arbitrary sites. In the case of wall vacancies, *i.e.*, when the periodicity is broken by the removal of a fraction of the walls, the effects on the thermodynamic properties of the gas are remarkable.

Our starting scheme is the derivation of the dispersion relation for a system of N Bose particles in a layered sample where the layers or walls are represented by Dirac-delta functions at fixed or at random distances. In Section II we describe our system and derive the dispersion relation using the transfer-matrix method to obtain the energy levels of arbitrary configurations of delta-potential barriers. In Section III, we derive analytic expressions for the internal energy, the chemical potential and for the specific heat of the system. Section IV is devoted to the analysis of plane suppressions or vacancies. Our conclusions are summarized in Section V.

II. FINITE LAYERED SYSTEM

We consider an ideal Bose gas confined to a semi-infinite box of thickness L and infinite lateral extent, divided into $M+1$ smaller boxes of width $\Delta_j = z_j - z_{j-1}$ with $j = 1, ..., M+1$ such that the impenetrable border walls are at $z_0 = 0$ and $z_{M+1} = L$ where we assume that the wave function vanishes. Walls or barriers between adjacent boxes are modeled by Dirac-delta potentials of variable strength in the z-direction such that particles are able to tunnel through adjacent boxes while they are



FIG. 1: (Color online) The ordered, layered-system with permeable planes at fixed separation a. The length of the system in the z-direction is $L = (M+1)a$ and infinite in the other two directions.

free to move in the x- and y-directions (Fig. 1). The (internal) wall permeability is inversely proportional to the strength of the delta potential .

The M Dirac-delta potentials in the z-direction are given by

$$V(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} v_j \delta(z - z_j).$$

which in 3D become surfaces of strength v_j located at z_j. For the ordered or periodic, reference system, $\Delta_j = a$



FIG. 2: Energy levels of: (a) ordered system and (b-e) removal of {1}, {1, 2}, {1, 3}, and {1, 10} planes.

and $v_j = v$ for all j. Then the dispersion relation in the $z-$direction is given by [7]

$$\Lambda \frac{\sin(\kappa a)}{\kappa} + \cos(\kappa a) = \cos\left(\frac{(i+n-1)\pi}{M+1}\right) \qquad (1)$$

with $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M+1$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ is the energy band, $\Lambda = mv/\hbar^2$ and the $z-$direction energy levels are $\varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}} = \hbar^2 \kappa_{i,n}^2 / 2m$, where $\kappa_{i,n}$ are the solutions to Eq. (1). The band structure defined by Eq. (1) is already discernible when the number of planes is as small as $M \sim 10$, as shown in Fig. 2-(a). Disorder in the multi-layered system is introduced by allowing the separations Δ_j and/or the strengths Λ_j to be different by a random variation of its reference values within a "noise" interval. In this case, it is more convenient to use the transfer-matrix method relating the wave-functions in the $z-$direction between adjacent regions separated by Dirac delta functions. In the interval j we have $\psi_j(z) = A_j \exp(\mathrm{i}\kappa z) + B_j \exp(-\mathrm{i}\kappa z)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_j \\ B_j \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{T}_j \begin{pmatrix} A_{j+1} \\ B_{j+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\Lambda_j}{\kappa} & \frac{\mathrm{i}e^{-2\mathrm{i}z_j\kappa}}{\kappa} \\ -\frac{\mathrm{i}e^{2\mathrm{i}z_j\kappa}}{\kappa} & 1 - \frac{\mathrm{i}\Lambda_j}{\kappa} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., M \qquad (2)$$

and we assume box boundary conditions

$$\psi_1(0) = \psi_{M+1}(L) = 0. \qquad (3)$$

For a system of M potentials we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{M} \mathbb{T}_j\right) \begin{pmatrix} A_{M+1} \\ B_{M+1} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} A_{M+1} \\ B_{M+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \prod_{j=1}^{M} \mathbb{T}_j$ is a 2×2 matrix and the dispersion relation that defines the $z-$direction energy levels is given in terms of the elements of the product matrix $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ by

$$\mathrm{Im}\left[(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{11} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{12})\, e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa L}\right] = 0. \qquad (4)$$

For a periodic system, Eqs. (1) and (4) are equivalent. In each band, there are M discrete levels due to the barriers and an additional level at the top of the n-band with $\kappa_{M+1} a = n\pi$. When a finite number of layers is suppressed an equal number of levels in each band is moved down to the forbidden region. For example, in Fig. 2 we show the first two energy bands with $M + 1 = 11$ levels when one layer (b) or different sets of two layers are removed $(c - e)$. Level degeneracy is observed when the removed layers are at symmetrical positions as shown in the diagram of Fig. 2-(e).

III. THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES

When there is no interaction between the particles, the partition function for this system is

$$\mathbb{Z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{M+1} \left(1 - z e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{i,n}}\right)^{-1},$$

where $z = e^{\beta\mu}$ is the fugacity and the single-particle energies are

$$\varepsilon_{i,n} = \frac{\hbar^2(k_x^2 + k_y^2)}{2m} + \varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}}$$

The thermodynamic properties of the system are obtained from the grand potential

$$\Omega(T, V, \mu) = -k_B T \ln \mathbb{Z} = k_B T \sum_{n,i} \ln\left[1 - e^{-\beta(\varepsilon_{i,n} - \mu)}\right].$$

When the system size in the x- and y-directions is infinite, sums become integrals and the number equation $N = \partial\Omega/\partial\mu$ becomes

$$N = \sum_{n,i} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int dk_x dk_y \left\{\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}}^{-1} \exp\left[\frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi}(k_x^2 + k_y^2)\right] - 1\right\}^{-1} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}} = e^{\beta(\mu - \varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}})},$$
$$\lambda^2 = \frac{h^2}{2\pi m k_B T}.$$

Integration over k_x, k_y yields

$$N = -\left(\frac{L}{\lambda}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \ln(1 - \alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}}),$$

which may be expressed in terms of the ideal boson gas (IBG) condensation temperature T_0 and the corresponding thermal wavelength $\lambda_0 = h/\sqrt{2\pi m k_B T_0}$ as

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_0 T}{\zeta(3/2)(M+1)aT_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \ln\left[1 - e^{\beta(\mu - \varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}})}\right]. \quad (6)$$

The internal energy U is obtained from

$$U = \sum_{n,i} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int dk_x dk_y \frac{\varepsilon_{k_x} + \varepsilon_{k_y} + \varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}}}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_{k_x} + \varepsilon_{k_y} + \varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}} - \mu)} - 1}.$$

Again, integration over k_x, k_y and using the IBG condensation temperature yields

$$\frac{U}{N k_B T} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\zeta(3/2)(M+1)a} \sum_{n,i} \left[\frac{T}{T_0} g_2(\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}}) + \frac{\varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}}}{k_B T_0} g_1(\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}})\right], \quad (7)$$

where $g_\sigma(z)$ is the σ-th order Bose function [17].

The specific heat at constant volume is $C_V = (\partial U/\partial T)_V$ yielding

$$\frac{C_V}{N k_B} = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi\gamma}}{\zeta(3/2)(M+1)} \sum_{\kappa_{i,n}} \left[\frac{2T}{T_0} g_2(\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}}) + 2\gamma\overline{\varepsilon} g_1(\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}}) + (\gamma\overline{\varepsilon}_{\kappa_{i,n}})^2 \frac{T_0}{T} g_0(\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}}) + f(\overline{\mu})\right], \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma = \lambda_0^2/4\pi a^2$, ζ is the Riemann Zeta-function and

$$f(\overline{\mu}) = \left(T\frac{\partial\overline{\mu}}{\partial T} - \overline{\mu}\right)\left[\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi}}\frac{T_0}{T} + \gamma^2\overline{\varepsilon}_{\kappa_{i,n}} g_0(\alpha_{\kappa_{i,n}})\right]. \quad (9)$$

where we have used dimensionless units, namely $\overline{\mu} = \mu/(\hbar^2/2ma^2)$ and $\overline{\varepsilon}_{\kappa_{i,n}} = \varepsilon_{\kappa_{i,n}}/(\hbar^2/2ma^2)$.

The effects of disorder in the specific heat of the system may be analyzed by introducing random variations in the positions of the barriers (structural disorder) through $z_j \to (j + \delta_j)a$ with $|\delta_j| < 1$, a random number. Alternately, a random variation on the strengths of the barriers can mimic compositional disorder by setting $\Lambda_j \to (1 + \delta_j)\Lambda$. In the former case, we probe a sample of $M = 10$ barriers at varying positions. The specific heat for several trials in the choice of δ_j for the positions of the layers is shown in Fig. 3 where a 10 percent random variation is adopted. The case of compositional disorder is shown in Fig 4 for a 90 percent random variation of δ_j in the strengths of the delta potentials. In both cases, the maximum of the specific heat increases and its temperature is always higher than the corresponding values of the reference, ordered system, as well as the *average* curve from several random trials labeled 1,2,3,4 in the figures. However, when vacancies are introduced a dramatic effect in the specific heat is observed as shown in the next Section.



FIG. 3: (Color online) Specific heat for random 10 percent variations in the position of the barriers for a system of $M = 10$ planes of equal strength, $\Lambda = 10$. Each curve denotes a different set of random trials

IV. EFFECT OF VACANCIES

Using a small sample of $M = 10$ planes, we calculate C_V when a number of vacancies is produced by setting $\Lambda_j = 0$ for some j's. We start by removing walls



FIG. 4: (Color online) Specific heat for random 90 percent variations in the strength of the barriers for a system of $M = 10$ ordered planes. Each curve denotes a different set of random trials

in progressive positions. When one wall is removed, a level in each energy package of the ordered case jumps down thereby modifying the energy gap between the lowest level and the following one. This phenomenon produces an effective energy gap in the first set of energy levels whose magnitude depends on the position of the removed wall.

T_{max}	$C_V(T_{max})$	gap $[\hbar^2/2ma^2]$	site
1.39100100	2.590968641	4.617840120	1
1.40013969	2.610722976	4.741202730	2
1.40019796	2.610862299	4.762015852	3
1.40019848	2.610863260	4.791629539	4
1.40019849	2.610863267	4.835378530	5

TABLE I: Specific heat height $C_V(T_{max})$ at T_{max} for systems with one site vacancy indicated in column 4.

The largest gap appears when one layer near the center of the sample is removed. In Table 1 we show the specific heat maxima $C_V(T_{max})$ as well as the temperature T_{max} where the specific heat attains its maximum, and the magnitude of the energy gap when the layer in a specific site is removed. The gap, $C_V(T_{max})$ and T_{max} increases as the position of the removed layer varies from site 1 (near the edge) to site 5. We claim that the increase in $C_V(T_{max})$ and T_{max} is caused by the appearance of this gap since for a 3D infinite ideal Bose gas with a quadratic dispersion relation plus a gap, both the BEC critical temperature and specific heat height at its critical temperature increase as a function of the gap magnitude [16]. Since our system is semi-infinite the specific heat is unable to develop a sharp peak which is a signature of a phase transition. Instead a pronounced maximum is observed which suggests a precursor of a BEC transition since it becomes sharper as the size of the system grows as shown in Figs. 6 to 8. The removal of additional walls has a comparable effect in the energy levels. Each suppression moves down one level and if the removed walls were in symmetrical sites, the lowest levels are degenerate.



FIG. 5: (Color online) Specific heat for a system of 10 delta-function planes (ordered system) and with the indicated vacancies with $\Lambda = 10$, $a/\lambda_0 = 1$. The curve labeled FBG is the specific heat of a free boson gas confined in the finite box.



FIG. 6: (Color online) Specific heat for a system with 10 delta-function planes with indicated vacancies with $\Lambda = 10$, $a/\lambda_0 = 1$.

A similar behavior is observed in a larger sample with $M = 100$ planes as shown in Figs. 7 and 8. In Fig. 5 we show the effects when there are two vacancies compared to the ordered case and the free boson gas. The removal

at the edge of one or two planes shows a dramatic increase in the first maximum of the specific heat at somewhat larger temperatures. This maximum is even more pronounced when there are two consecutive vacancies in the middle of the sample. Figure 6 shows the effects of additional vacancies in the system. The specific heat in the ordered system has two maxima as a function of temperature. The maximum at the lower temperature is a signature of a BEC transition for a Bose gas confined in an infinite layered structure that would be present in the infinite system which appears at a critical temperature lower than T_0 as discussed in Ref. [1]. The second maximum signals the onset of the BEC of an ideal Bose gas between two consecutive walls. When vacancies are introduced, either at symmetric or at random sites as shown in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively, the second maximum is suppressed and the first peak has a sharp increase both, in its magnitude and in the temperature.



FIG. 7: (Color online) $M = 100$, $P_0 = 10$, $a/\lambda_0 = 1$. Specific heat for a system with 100 delta-function planes (ordered system) and with a proportion of removed planes from symmetric sites.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Lattice disorder is known to produce localization in Fermi and, more recently, in Bose systems in the thermodynamic limit. In this work, we have studied the effects of lattice disorder in the specific heat of finite, Bose systems by introducing random variations in the positions and/or strengths of the planes. The overall effect of these variations is to modify the position, as a function of the temperature, of the maximum of the specific heat to higher temperatures. Its magnitude also increases when a large number of random samples is considered. However, when disorder is caused by the suppression of a



FIG. 8: (Color online) $M = 100$, $P_0 = 10$, $a/\lambda_0 = 1$. Same as the preceding figure but with a random proportion of removed planes.

random number of planes in the $z-$direction a dramatic effect is observed. In the case of a small sample with $M = 10$ walls, the lower temperature maximum of the specific heat increases by a large amount and it occurs at larger temperatures compared to the ordered and the free Bose gas cases. In addition, the maximum at higher temperatures disappears. As more walls are removed, the magnitude of the first maximum decreases.

The case of a larger sample ($M = 100$) reveals a similar behavior albeit in a more dramatic way. Vacancies were introduced in symmetric or random configurations. In both cases, the removal of about 20 walls increased the magnitude of the maximum of C_V by twice the value in the ordered system and it appeared at higher temperatures. This behavior indicates that the large increase in the magnitude of the specific heat maximum is caused by the appearance of a gap in the energy spectrum. It also suggests that a critical number of plane vacancies promotes the emergence of a condensate phase at a temperature above the IBG critical temperature.

This work was partially supported by grants UNAM-DGAPA IN-111613 and CONACyT 221030.

[1] P. Salas, et al., Phys. Rev. A, **82**, 033632 (2010).

[2] P. Salas, et al., J. Low Temp Phys **159**, 540 (2010).

[3] H. R. Pajkowski and R. K. Pathria, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 10 561 (1977); R. K. Pathria. Phys. Rev. A 5, 1451 (1972).
[4] D. M. Goble and L. E. H. Trainor, Phys. Rev. 157, 167 (1967); M. F. M. Osborne, Phys. Rev. 76, 3965 (1949).
[5] S. Chakravarty, A. SudbØ, P. W. Anderson, S. Strong, Science, **261**, 337 (1993).
[6] W.L. Bloss, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 8035 (1991); W. Shockley, Phys. Rev. **56**, 317 (1939); I. Tamm, Physik Zeits Sowjetunion **1**, 733 (1932).
[7] D. J. Griffiths and C.A. Steinke, Am. J. Phys. **69**, 137 (2001).
[8] Philip Anderson, Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattices Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958).
[9] Giacomo Roati, Chiara D'Errico, Leonardo Fallani, Marco Fattori, Chiara Fort, Matteo Zaccanti, Giovanni Modugno, Michele Modugno, and Massimo Inguscio, Letter to Nature **453**, 895 (2008).
[10] Michele Modugno, New Journal of Physics, **11**, 033023 (2009).
[11] Juliette Billy, Vincent Josse, Zhanchun Zuo, Alain Bernard, Ben Hambrecht, Pierre Lugan, David Clément, Laurent Sanchez-Palencia, Philippe Bouyer, and Alain Aspect, Nature **453**, 891 (2008).
[12] L. Sanchez-Palencia, D. Clément, P. Lugan, P. Bouyer, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and A. Aspect, Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 210401 (2007).
[13] J.M. Luttinger and H.K. Sy, Phys. Rev. A, **7**,701 (1973).
[14] J.M. Luttinger and H.K. Sy, Phys. Rev. A, **7**,712 (1973).
[15] P.C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. **158**, 383, (1967); N.D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966)
[16] M.A. Solís, "Tamm's surface states and Bose-Einstein condensation", in preparation.
[17] R. K. Pathria, Statistical Mechanics, 2nd ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1996) p506.